IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS WACO DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V.

COLBY CLAY WARREN

CRIMINAL NO. 6:14-CR-189-ADA-14

S

COLBY CLAY WARREN

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

TO: THE HONORABLE ALAN D ALBRIGHT, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

The undersigned submits this Report and Recommendation to the district judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Rule 1 of Appendix C of the Local Court Rules of the United States District Court for the Western District of Texas, Local Rules for the Assignment of Duties to United States Magistrate Judges. Before the Court is the petition of the United States Probation Office recommending the revocation of the defendant's term of supervision. The district judge referred the matter to the undersigned for the preparation of a report and recommendation.

I. PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

The defendant was convicted of Conspiracy to Possess with Intent to Distribute a Mixture and Substance Containing a Detectable Amount of Methamphetamine, a Schedule II Controlled Substance, 21 USC 846 {21 USC 841(a)(1) and 21 USC 841 (b)(1)(B)} on November 12, 2015 in the Western District of Texas. He was sentenced to one-hundred and thirty (130) months, followed by a five (5) year term of supervised release. He began his term of supervised release on September 30, 2024. On April 8, 2025, a revocation hearing was held by a U.S. Magistrate Judge, and a recommendation was made to continue the defendant's supervised release.

On September 5, 2025, the United States Probation Office filed an Amended Petition for

Warrant or Summons for Offender Under Supervision, alleging the defendant violated the terms of his supervision in the following ways:

Violation Number 1: The defendant violated mandatory condition number 1, in that, on or about May 27, 2025, in Coryell County, Texas, the defendant, without the effective consent of the owner, entered a building or a residence not open to the public, with the intent of committing a felony or theft in violation of Texas Penal Code 30.02(a)(1), a state jail felony.

On September 9, 2025, the Court held a hearing on the petition. At the hearing, the defendant pled TRUE as to violation number 1. The petition contained a sufficient factual basis to support a plea of TRUE as to violation number 1.

II. FINDINGS OF THE COURT

Based on the sworn statements of the defendant and other testimony at the hearing, the undersigned finds as follows:

- 1. The defendant violated the conditions of his supervision as alleged in the petition.
- 2. The defendant was competent to make the decision to enter a plea of TRUE to the allegations underlying violation number 1.
- 3. The defendant had both a factual and rational understanding of the proceedings against him.
- 4. The defendant did not suffer from any physical or mental impairment that would affect his ability to fully understand the charges against him or the consequences of his plea.
- 5. The defendant was not under the influence of any drugs, alcohol, or medication that would affect his judgment in entering a plea or that would affect his understanding of the basis, consequences, or effect of his plea.
- 6. The defendant was sane and mentally competent to stand trial for these proceedings.

- 7. The defendant was sane and mentally competent to assist his attorney in the preparation and conduct of his defense.
- 8. The defendant received a copy of the petition naming him, and he either read it or had it read to him.
- 9. The defendant understood the petition and the charges alleged against him.
- 10. The defendant had a sufficient opportunity to discuss the petition and charges with his attorney.
- 11. The defendant was satisfied with the job his attorney has done and had no complaints about his attorney.
- 12. The defendant understood that he had the right to plead NOT TRUE and proceed with a hearing at which he could present evidence and cross-examine witnesses.
- 13. The defendant freely, intelligently, and voluntarily entered his plea of TRUE to the allegations in violation number 1.
- 14. The defendant understood his statutory and constitutional rights and desired to waive them.
- 15. The petition contains a sufficient factual basis to support the defendant's pleas of TRUE to violation number 1.

III. RECOMMENDATION

The undersigned has carefully considered all the arguments and evidence presented by the parties and **RECOMMENDS** that the defendant's term of supervised release be revoked and that he be sentenced to six (6) months in custody, credited for time already served in federal custody, with no term of supervised release to follow. The Court finds that a departure below the

sentencing guidelines is justified given the underlying facts of the alleged violation, which resulted in the state charges being reduced to a single misdemeanor and the defendant serving ninety days in state custody.

IV. WARNINGS

The parties may file objections to this Report and Recommendation. A party filing objections must specifically identify those findings or recommendations to which objections are being made. The district court need not consider frivolous, conclusive, or general objections. *See Battles v. United States Parole Comm'n*, 834 F.2d 419, 421 (5th Cir. 1987).

A party's failure to file written objections to the proposed findings and recommendations contained in this Report within fourteen (14) days after the party is served with a copy of the Report shall bar that party from de novo review by the district judge of the proposed findings and recommendations in the Report and, except upon grounds of plain error, shall bar the party from appellate review of unobjected-to proposed factual findings and legal conclusions accepted by the district judge. *See* 28 U.S.C. 636(b)(1)(C); *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 150-53 (1985); *Douglass v. United Servs. Auto. Ass'n*, 79 F.3d 1415, 1428-29 (5th Cir. 1996) (en banc).

SIGNED this 10th day of September, 2025.

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE